Analysis of Algorithms, I CSOR W4231.002

Eleni Drinea Computer Science Department

Columbia University

Minimum spanning trees: Prim's and Kruskal's algorithms

1 Minimum Spanning Trees (MSTs)

- Prim's algorithm
- \blacksquare Kruskal's algorithm
- More MST algorithms

1 Minimum Spanning Trees (MSTs)

- Prim's algorithm
- Kruskal's algorithm
- More MST algorithms

Motivation: build the cheapest communication network over a set of locations.

Input: a weighted, undirected graph G = (V, E, w)

Output: a subset of edges $E_T \subseteq E$ such that

- 1. the graph $T = (V, E_T)$ is connected;
- 2. $\sum_{e \in E_T} w(e)$ is minimal.

Remark 1.

The graph $T = (V, E_T)$ is a tree: if there is a cycle, remove any edge from the cycle and obtain a connected graph with less cost.

Definition 1 (Spanning tree of a graph G = (V, E)).

A tree that spans all the nodes in V.

Output (restated): a minimum weight spanning tree of G.

Remarks

- ► Brute-force won't work: even simple graphs have many spanning trees—how many in a simple cycle?
- #spanning trees in the complete graph on n vertices: n^{n-2}

Definition 2 (Cut).

A cut (S, V - S) is a bipartition of the vertices.

Claim 1 (Cut property).

Assume all edge weights are distinct. Let $S \subset V$ $(S \neq \emptyset)$. Let e be the minimum-weight edge with one endpoint in S and the other in V - S. Then every MST contains e.

Remark 2.

The assumption of distinct edge weights is just for the purposes of the analysis; we will show how to remove it later.

Notation:
$$w(T) = \sum_{e \in E_T} w(e)$$

We will derive a contradiction by using an exchange argument.

- Let T' be a minimum-weight spanning tree that does not contain e = (u, v).
- Then there must be some other path P in T' from u to v.
- Starting at u, follow the vertices of P: since (u, v) crosses from S to V - S, there must be some first vertex $v' \in V - S$ on P. Let u' be the last vertex before it in S.
- ▶ Then $e' = (u', v') \in E_{T'}$ and e' crosses between S, V S.

Exchange e with e' to obtain the set of edges

$$E_T = E_{T'} + \{e\} - \{e'\}.$$

T is a spanning tree:

- ▶ T is connected: any path in T' that used e' = (u', v') is rerouted to follow P from u' to u, (u, v) and P from v to v'.
- ▶ T is acyclic (*why*?).

Since both e' and e cross between S and V - S but e is the lightest edge with this property, w(e) < w(e'). Thus

w(T) < w(T').

The cut property says: construct MST greedily by taking the lightest edge across two regions not yet connected.

In Prim's algorithm, the edges in E_T always form a subtree which is a partial MST and S is chosen to be the set of this subtree's vertices.

In other words:

- 1. Start with a root node s.
- 2. Greedily grow a tree outward from s by adding the node that can be attached as cheaply as possible at every step.

1. $E_T = \emptyset$

- 2. Maintain a set $S \subseteq V$ on which a spanning tree has been constructed so far. Initially, $S = \{s\}$.
- 3. In each iteration, update
 - 3.1 $S = S \cup \{v\}$, where v is the vertex in V S that minimizes the attachment cost:

$$\min_{u \in S \ (u,v) \in E} w_{uv}.$$

3.2 $E_T = E_T \cup \{e\}$



Prim's MST for example graph (letters indicate the order in which edges were added)



Follows directly from the Cut property.

Let S be the set of vertices on which a partial MST has been constructed.

At every iteration an edge (u, v) is added such that

$$\bullet \ u \in S, \ v \in V - S;$$

• (u, v) is the lightest edge that crosses between S and V - S.

Similarly to Dijkstra's algorithm,

- ► store every node v ∈ V − S in a priority queue Q, e.g., implemented as a binary min-heap (key= weight of the lightest edge between some node in S and v). Initially, S = {s}.
- maintain two arrays
 - dist[v]: stores the weight of the lightest edge between v and any vertex in S (in Dijkstra, it stored a conservative overestimate of the distance of v from the source s)
 - prev[v]: stores the node responsible for adding v to S

Pseudocode: how does this compute $T = (V, E_T)$?

```
Prim(G = (V, E, w), s)
for u \in V do
    dist[v] = \infty; prev[v] = NIL
end for
dist[s] = 0
Q = \{V: dist\}
S = \emptyset
while Q \neq \emptyset do
    u = \texttt{ExtractMin}(Q)
    S = S \cup \{u\}
    for (u, v) \in E and v \in V - S do
        if dist[v] > w(u, v) then
            dist[v] = w(u, v)
            prev[v] = u
            DecreaseKey(Q, v)
        end if
    end for
end while
```

Notation: |V| = n, |E| = m

<u>[</u>		Tracent /	
		Insert/	
Implementation	ExtractMin	DecreaseKey	Time
Array	O(n)	O(1)	$O(n^2)$
Binary heap	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O((n+m)\log n)$
<i>d</i> -ary heap	$O(d\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O((nd+m)\frac{\log n}{\log d})$
Fibonacci heap	$O(\log n)$	O(1) amortized	$O(n\log n + m)$

- Optimal choice for $d \approx m/n$ (the *average* degree of the graph)
- ▶ *d*-ary heap works well for both sparse and dense graphs
 - ► If m = n^{1+x}, what is the running time of Prim's algorithm using a d-ary heap?
- ▶ Amortized analysis: coming up in the next lecture

Short description: at every step, add to E_T the *lightest* edge that does not create a cycle with the edges already in E_T .

Thus, at all times, E_T is a subset of an MST.

Alternative view: merging partial trees

Initially, every vertex forms its own trivial tree (no edges). Maintain a *forest* of trees at all times.

Let T(v) be the tree where vertex v belongs.

- 1. Initialize $E_T = \emptyset$
- 2. Sort the edges by increasing weight.
- 3. For every edge e = (u, v) in **increasing order** of weight:
 - If u and v belong to the same tree, discard e.
 - ► Else
 - $\blacktriangleright E_T = E_T \cup \{e\};$
 - merge T(u), T(v) into a single tree.
- \bigtriangleup Need a data structure that allows
 - 1. to check if u, v belong to the same tree;
 - 2. for updates to reflect the merging of two trees into one.



Kruskal's MST for example graph (letters indicate the order in which edges were added)



- Let (u, v) be the edge added at the current iteration.
- ▶ Let S be the set of nodes that have a path to u by edges in A just before (u, v) is added; then $u \in S$ but $v \notin S$.
- ► Also, (u, v) must be the first edge between S and V S encountered so far: otherwise, if such an edge was encountered before, it would have been added to A since its inclusion would not cause a cycle.
- \Rightarrow (u, v) is the lightest edge that crosses between S and V S
- By the Cut Property, (u, v) belongs to the MST.

Kruskal's algorithm maintains a forest of trees at all times, starting from n trivial trees (no edges).

Want a data structure that maintains a **collection of disjoint sets** and supports operations:

- 1. MakeSet(u): Given an element u, create a new tree containing only u. Target worst-case time: O(1)
- 2. Find(u): Given an element u, find which tree u belongs to. Target worst-case time: $O(\log n)$
- 3. Union(u, v): Merge the tree containing u and the tree containing v into a single tree. Target worst-case time: $O(\log n)$

```
Kruskal(G = (V, E, w))
E_T = \emptyset
Sort(E) by w
for u \in V do MakeSet(u)
end for
for (u, v) \in E by increasing w do
    if Find(u) \neq Find(v) then
       E_T = E_T \cup \{(u, v)\}
       Union(u, v)
    end if
end for
```

- Sorting: $O(m \log m) = O(m \log n)$
- n Makeset() operations: O(n)
- ▶ 2m Find() operations: $2m \cdot O(\log n)$
- ▶ $\leq n 1$ Union() operations: $n \cdot O(\log n)$

Running time: $O(m \log n)$

Fact 3 (The Cycle Property).

Assume that all edge costs are distinct. Let C be any cycle in G, and let edge (u, v) be the heaviest edge in C. Then e does not belong to any MST of G.

- Let T be a spanning tree that contains e. We want to show that T is not optimal.
- ► To this end, we will exchange e for some e' to get a spanning tree T' with less weight.
- ▶ First, delete *e* from *T*; *T* is now partitioned into two components: the set *S* containing *u* and the set V S containing *v*.
- ⇒ We want an edge e' with one endpoint in S and another in V S so as to reconnect them.

Proof of the cycle property (cont'd)

- We can find such an edge by following the cycle C.
- Consider the edges of C except for e: they form a path from u to v.
- ▶ So if we start at u, following this path, at some point there is an edge e' that crosses from S to V S. Construct

$$E_{T'} = E_T - \{e\} + \{e'\}.$$

▶ Now T' is connected and has n-1 edges. Moreover, since e is the heaviest edge in the cycle

$$w(T') < w(T).$$

Fact 3 yields yet another algorithm for finding an MST.

Reverse-Delete(G = (V, E, w))

- ▶ Start with the full graph.
- ▶ Sort the edges in decreasing weight.
- Repeatedly delete edges in order of decreasing weight, so long as the graph does not become disconnected.

More MST algorithms: combine the Cut property (to add edges) and the Cycle property (to eliminate edges).

 \bigtriangleup Such algorithms may be subtle to implement.

Removing the assumption of unequal edge weights

- ▶ Suppose some edges have equal weights.
- Slightly perturb all edge weights by different, tiny amounts.
- \Rightarrow All edge weights are now distinct.
 - ▶ Apply the algorithms discussed in the previous sections.

Remark 3.

Perturbations serve as tie-breakers: edges whose weights differed before still have the same relative order.